

## Corres. and Mail RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE **EXAMINING GROUP 2100** 

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named

: George G. Robertson Inventor

Appln. No.: 09/540,069

Filed

: March 31, 2000

For

: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR

PROVIDING A THREE-

DIMENSIONAL TASK GALLERY

COMPUTER INTERFACE

Docket No.: M61.12-0143

Group Art Unit: 2174

Examiner: T. Vu

RECEIVED

APR 1 9\_2004

Technology Center 2100

## RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER IS BEING SENT BY U.S. MAIL, FIRST CLASS, TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450, THIS

04

PATENT ATTORNEY

This is in response to the Final Office Action mailed January 14, 2004 in which claims 1-17, 19-30, 37-38, and 40-42 were rejected and claims 31 and 34-36 were allowed.

## REMARKS

In the Final Office Action, the rejections provided in the previous office action were maintained and new statements addressing Applicant's arguments were presented.

In particular, the Examiner disagreed with Applicants' assertion that none of the collections of documents in Card provide both an ordered stack of windows and a loose stack of To support this position, the Examiner cited column 10, lines 54-60 of Card as showing both an ordered stack of windows which are automatically aligned and a loose stack of windows in which the alignment between the windows is set by a user. Applicants respectfully dispute that the cited section shows an ordered stack of windows and a loose stack of windows.

In the cited section, Card discusses how documents may be added to a pile. In manual creation, the piles are created by